Annex 4 – Evaluation Criteria and Scoring System for Recruitment Agencies

1. Experience and Expertise (35%)

This section should focus on the depth and breadth of the agency's experience in the international recruitment market, specifically with a focus on Germany.

• Track Record in International Recruitment (10%)

- o **0-2 points**: No international experience, or limited experience.
- 3-6 points: Some international experience, but mostly in countries other than Germany or with a low number of placements.
- 7-10 points: Strong international recruitment experience, especially in Germany, with a proven track record across multiple countries and a high volume of placements.

Industry-Specific Expertise (8%)

- 0-2 points: Limited or no experience in the specific industries or occupations relevant to the recruitment needs.
- 3-5 points: Some relevant experience with a few successful placements in the specified industries.
- 6-8 points: Extensive experience with multiple successful placements in the specific industries and occupations.

Knowledge of Germany's Immigration and Labor Laws (7%)

- 0-2 points: No clear understanding or experience with German labor laws or immigration processes.
- 3-5 points: Some understanding, but no strong evidence of partnerships with German companies or agencies.
- 6-7 points: In-depth knowledge with evidence of partnerships and successful placements within Germany, demonstrating familiarity with German labor and immigration laws.

• List of Top 3 Clients (5%)

- 0-1 point: No major clients or lack of transparency in client list.
- 2-4 points: At least one notable client in a relevant industry.

 5 points: Clear and compelling list of top 3 clients that are reputable and relevant to the recruitment process.

Policies on Complaint Resolution Mechanism (5%)

- 0-1 point: No clear policy or inadequate complaint resolution procedures.
- 2-3 points: Some complaint resolution mechanisms in place, but lacking clarity or consistency.
- 4-5 points: Well-documented, efficient complaint resolution system that aligns with best practices in recruitment.

2. Cost of Services (40%)

This section evaluates the transparency, competitiveness, and fairness of the agency's pricing structure.

• Cost Breakdown of Recruitment Fees and Other Relevant Costs (25%)

- 0-5 points: No breakdown provided, or fees appear unreasonably high with no justification.
- 6-15 points: Detailed breakdown of recruitment costs, with reasonable and clear fees for services like medical exams and other additional costs.
- 16-25 points: Comprehensive, competitive, and transparent cost structure, including itemized details for all relevant costs (recruitment fees, medical exams, visa processing, etc.).

Sample Contract or Fee Schedule (15%)

- 0-3 points: No sample contract or unclear contract structure.
- 4-9 points: A contract is provided, but it lacks clarity on specific terms and costs.
- 10-15 points: Clear, detailed sample contract or fee schedule that aligns with the stated costs and terms.

3. Operational Capacity (10%)

This section assesses the agency's infrastructure, human resources, and recruitment processes.

Organizational Chart of Agency's Structure (3%)

- 0-1 point: No organizational chart provided.
- 2 points: Organizational chart provided but lacking detail or clarity in roles.
- 3 points: Comprehensive organizational chart, with clear roles and responsibilities.

Number of Staff / Recruitment Officers (2%)

- o **0-1 point**: Insufficient staff or unclear number of recruitment officers.
- 2 points: Adequate number of staff, with clear allocation to specific recruitment functions.

Recruitment Process and Timeline (3%)

- o **0-1 point**: No clear recruitment process or unrealistic timelines.
- 2 points: A basic process is outlined, with timelines that appear manageable.
- 3 points: Detailed recruitment process from sourcing to placement, with realistic and well-defined timelines.

Description of Post-Placement Services (2%)

- 0-1 point: No post-placement services offered.
- 2 points: Clear post-placement services (e.g., onboarding support, followup, etc.) offered and described.

4. Financial Stability (15%)

This section evaluates the financial health of the agency.

• Financial Statement for the Last 3 Years (15%)

- o **0-5 points**: No financial statement or financial instability.
- 6-10 points: Financial statement provided, but some concerns about financial health.
- 11-15 points: Clear, transparent financial statements from the last three years, demonstrating stability and a solid financial track record.